UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/582,313	05/16/2007	James W. Cree	TRED54 (345 US)	3997
TESSARI PATENT LAW GROUP, PLLC 301 LINDENWOOD DRIVE - SUITE 206			EXAMINER	
			VONCH, JEFFREY A	
MALVERN, PA 19355			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1788	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/582,313	CREE ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeff A. Vonch	1788		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. tely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Fe 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3,7,10-14,16,18,27,28,41 and 45-48 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-3,7,10-14,16-18,27 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 41 and 45-48 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	and 28 is/are withdrawn from co			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of the outp	epted or b) \square objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/582,313 Page 2

Art Unit: 1788

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 23rd, 2011 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

- 2. Applicant's amendments filed February 23rd, 2011 have entered. Claims 41 and 45-47 have been amended. Claim 48 has been added.
- 3. All Section 102 Rejections have been withdrawn due to Applicant's amendments.

NEW REJECTIONS

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Curro et al. (WO 2000/037249) (hereinafter "Curro"), as evidenced by Ahr et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,463,045) (hereinafter "Ahr") in view of Young et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,626,571) (hereinafter "Young").
- 6. Regarding claim 48, Curro teaches a bonded (page 6, paragraph 4) carded (page 6, paragraph 2), extensible (page 5, paragraph 5) fibrous nonwoven web/elastic film laminate, used

Art Unit: 1788

as a topsheet in an absorbent article that can be an incontinent device or catamenial product (page 16, paragraphs 1-2), where an apertured nonwoven is thermally bonded to an apertured film (abstract) (page 3, Summary of the Invention). The apertures in the nonwoven layer expose the apertured film (pg. 13, paragraph 4). Curro does not expressly teach vacuum aperturing but incorporates Ahr by reference (which discloses a preferred means of aperturing) (pg. 12, paragraph 2). Ahr teaches forming a three dimensional apertured film using a vacuum (col. 16, lines 6-32).

- 7. Curro does not teach aperturing by stretching the web without weakening or tearing.
- 8. Young teaches a nonwoven used as a topsheet (col. 7, lines 16-17) in an adult garment wherein the nonwoven is an inelastic (extensible) that is activation stretched without splitting or tearing (col. 8, lines 5-28) wherein the stretching creates apertures.
- 9. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide Young's nonwoven topsheet as Curro's nonwoven sheet to form a composite topsheet. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to have a higher toughness and elasticity and more able to withstand sudden tensile forces experienced in wearing disposable articles (col. 6, lines 45-57).
- 10. Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,626,571) (hereinafter "Young") in view of Kajander (5,171,238) (hereinafter "Kajander").
- 11. Regarding claim 48, Young teaches an inelastic (extensible) (col. 6, lines 5-14) bonded (col. 4, lines 25-41), carded nonwoven (col. 5, lines 56-58) used as a topsheet (col. 7, lines 16-

Art Unit: 1788

- 17) in an adult garment wherein the nonwoven is activation stretched without splitting or tearing (col. 8, lines 5-28) wherein the stretching creates apertures (access areas).
- 12. Regarding claim 48, Young does not teach a thermally bonding the film to form a laminate wherein the film is a polyethylene vacuum formed/apertured film wherein the film is exposed through access areas.
- 13. Kajander teaches a topsheet (abstract) consisting of a apertured nonwoven film laminate (col. 3, lines 22-25) having a reinforcing sheet of a vacuum laminated and formed film (col. 3, lines 57-63) wherein the film is made form polyethylene (col. 3, lines 22-25).
- 14. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to laminate a nonwoven used as a topsheet to a vacuum formed film. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to thermally bond a nonwoven topsheet (col. 3, lines 24-25) with a film wherein the film is vacuum apertured to trap fluids within the pad (col. 3, lines 49-56).
- 15. Claims 41 and 45-48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Morman et al. (U.S. Pub. No. 2004/0121687 A1) (hereinafter "Morman") in view of Young et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,626,571) (hereinafter "Young") and Kajander (5,171,238) (hereinafter "Kajander").
- 16. Regarding claims 41 and 45-47, Young teaches an inelastic (extensible) (col. 6, lines 5-14) bonded (col. 4, lines 25-41), carded nonwoven (col. 5, lines 56-58) used as a topsheet (col. 7, lines 16-17) in an adult garment wherein the nonwoven is activation stretched without splitting or tearing (col. 8, lines 5-28) wherein the stretching creates apertures (access areas).

Application/Control Number: 10/582,313

Art Unit: 1788

17. Regarding claim 41, Young does not teach a thermally bonding the film to form a laminate wherein the film is a polyethylene vacuum formed/apertured film and the film is exposed through access areas or that the laminate is stretched.

Page 5

- 18. Kajander teaches a topsheet (abstract) consisting of a apertured nonwoven film laminate (col. 3, lines 22-25) having a reinforcing sheet of a vacuum laminated and formed film (col. 3, lines 57-63) wherein the film is made form polyethylene (col. 3, lines 22-25).
- 19. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to laminate a nonwoven used as a topsheet to a vacuum formed film. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to thermally bond a nonwoven topsheet (col. 3, lines 24-25) with a film wherein the film is vacuum apertured to trap fluids within the pad (col. 3, lines 49-56).
- 20. The apertures in Young would inherently expose the film laminated below, however, neither Young nor Kajander teach activation stretching the laminate.
- 21. Morman teaches a laminate, suitable for use in an absorbent article such as a feminine hygiene product [0055] consisting of a bonded carded nonwoven fibrous web [0037 & 0063] thermally bonded [0090] to a polyolefin (polyethylene/polypropylene) film [0077] to form a unified structure and then activation stretching it to stretch the unified structure [0092].
- 22. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to activation stretch a nonwoven/film laminate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to improve stretch properties in a laminate [0083-0084].

Application/Control Number: 10/582,313 Page 6

Art Unit: 1788

Response to Arguments

23. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s)

of rejection.

Conclusion

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jeff A. Vonch whose telephone number is (571) 270-1134. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday 8:30-6:00 EST.

25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Alicia Chevalier can be reached on (571) 272-1490. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patricia L. Nordmeyer/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1788

/J. A. V./

Jeff A. Vonch

Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1788

Application/Control Number: 10/582,313

Art Unit: 1788

April 21st, 2011

Page 7